## **Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR)**

Please indicate in which section, figure or table each item has been reported in your manuscript. If you feel that an item does not apply to your manuscript, please enter N/A.

For more information about the SRQR guidelines, please see O'Brien *et al.*, 2014: https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000388

No.	Item	Description	Section
Title a	ind abstract		
1	Title	Concise description of the nature and topic of the study.	
		Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g.,	
		ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g.,	
		interview, focus group) is recommended	
2	Abstract	Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of	
		the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose,	
		methods, results, and conclusions	
Introd	luction		
3	Problem formulation	Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied;	
		review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	
4	Purpose or research question	Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	
Metho	ods		
5	Qualitative approach	Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case	
	and research paradigm	study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if	
		appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist,	
		constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale*	
6	Researcher	Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research,	
U	characteristics and	including personal attributes, qualifications/experience,	
	reflexivity	relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or	
		presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between	
		researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach,	
1		methods, results, and/or transferability	
7	Context	Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale*	
8	Sampling strategy	How and why research participants, documents, or events were	
		selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was	
		necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale*	
9	Ethical issues pertaining	Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board	
	to human subjects	and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other	
		confidentiality and data security issues	
10	Data collection methods	Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures	
		including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection	
		and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods,	
		and modification of procedures in response to evolving study	
11	Data collection	findings; rationale*	
11	Data collection	Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires)	
	instruments and	and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how	
12	technologies	the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	
12	Units of study	Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be	
12	Data processing	reported in results)  Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including	
13	Data processing	Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including	
		transcription, data entry, data management and security,	
		verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/deidentification of excerpts	
	1	anonymization/deidentification of excerpts	L

14	Data analysis	Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and	
		developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis;	
		usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale*	
15	Techniques to enhance	Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data	
	trustworthiness	analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation);	
		rationale*	
Resul	ts/findings		
16	Synthesis and	Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might	
	interpretation	include development of a theory or model, or integration with	
		prior research or theory	
17	Links to empirical data	Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to	
		substantiate analytic findings	
Discu	ssion		
18	Integration with prior	Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and	
	work, implications,	conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge	
	transferability, and	conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of	
	contribution(s) to the	application/ generalizability; identification of unique	
	field	contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	
19	Limitations	Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	
Othe	r		
20	Conflicts of interest	Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study	
		conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	
21	Funding	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data	
		collection, interpretation, and reporting	

<sup>\*</sup>The rationale should briefly discuss the justification for choosing that theory, approach, method, or technique rather than other options available, the assumptions and limitations implicit in those choices, and how those choices influence study conclusions and transferability. As appropriate, the rationale for several items might be discussed together.

When submitting your manuscript via the online submission form, please upload the completed checklist as a Figure/supplementary file.

If you would like this checklist to be included alongside your article, we ask that you upload the completed checklist to an online repository and include the guideline type, name of the repository, DOI and license in the *Data availability* section of your manuscript.

Developed from: Bridget C. O'Brien, Ilene B. Harris, Thomas J. Beckman, Darcy A. Reed, David A. Cook. Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research: A Synthesis of Recommendations. Academic Medicine, Volume 89, Issue 9, September 2014, Pages 1245-1251. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.000000000000388